

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/589,317	MOSER ET AL.	

  

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
ROBERT E. FULLER	3676	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) ROBERT E. FULLER.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ilya Zborovsky.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2010

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A.

Claims discussed:

1, 9, and 11.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A.

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's representative because the Remarks filed December 30, 2009 appeared to indicate that applicant intended to amend every independent claim according to what was discussed in the Interview conducted December 16, 2009. However, claim 11 had not been amended. Applicant confirmed that the lack of an amendment to claim 11 was an unintended error. Applicant agreed to examiner's proposal of amending claim 11 in a similar manner to claim 10. Examiner also pointed out antecedent basis issues in claims 1 and 9, as well as a redundancy in claim 9. Applicant agreed to the changes proposed by the examiner to eliminate these errors. A detailed listing of the agreed upon changes can be found in the attached Examiner's Amendment.